## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	)
	)
v.	) CRIM. CASE NO. 2:21-cr-188-ECM
EDWARD ISIAH BRUCE, III	)

## MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

Now pending before the court is Defendant Edward Isiah Bruce, III's motion to continue trial (doc. 44) filed on March 16, 2022. Jury selection and trial are presently set on the term of court commencing on April 4, 2022. For the reasons set forth below, the court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court "shall consider,"

among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely "result in a miscarriage of

justice," or "would deny counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for

effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence." § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i),

(iv).

Counsel for the Defendant represents to the Court that although the Bureau of Prisons

has completed its evaluation and determined the Defendant is competent to proceed, the

Defendant has not yet returned to the district. Counsel also requires additional time to

adequately meet with and confer with the Defendant about his options. The United States

does not oppose a continuance. After careful consideration, the Court finds that the ends of

justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public

and the Defendant in a speedy trial. Thus, for good cause, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue (doc. 44) is GRANTED, and jury selection and

trial are CONTINUED from April 4, 2022 to the criminal term of court set to commence on

August 29, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. in Montgomery, Alabama. All deadlines tied to the trial date

are adjusted accordingly.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the

August trial term.

Done this 18th day of March, 2022.

/s/Emily C. Marks

EMILY C. MARKS

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE